Lab 4 — Multithreading

$\mathrm{CS}~205$

Lab objectives:

- Create multithreaded code.
- Correct synchronization issues within a multithreaded program with locks.
- 1. You will start by using multiple threads.

As you progress through these steps, don't delete code from previous steps. Instead, comment previous code out. For example, in step 2b below, you use a ReentrantLock that you replace in step 2c. Comment-out the ReentrantLock code that you no longer need.

- (a) Download and import the lab4Starter project into Eclipse. Take a look at the project's printPrimes method. What does the method do? (Note: n.isProbablePrime(100) returns true if n is a prime, with error probability < 2⁻¹⁰⁰. Assume for now that means that n is certainly a prime.)
- (b) Take a look at the method that executes two threads, and run the program. What happens? How can you tell that the two threads ran concurrently? Does the main thread finish executing before the other two threads?
- (c) Now we want to know how many primes are in a given interval instead of printing them to System.out. We need to use a Callable since a Runnable can't return a value. Make a function countPrimes that returns a Callable<Long>. Make two callables

Submit them both to the same ExecutorService as in the preceding example. You'll get two Future<Long> values. You can access these values by

```
System.out.println(f1.get());
System.out.println(f2.get());
```

before calling

service.shutdown();

(d) Run the program. What does it print? Run it again. Does it print the same thing? The isProbablePrime method sounds as if it was guessing, but it is actually perfectly deterministic. For a given n, the call n.isProbablePrime(100) will always give the same result. It is just that the result may be wrong. The chance for that is 2^{-100} or about 10^{-30} . The probability of you being struck by lightning in a given year is about 10^{-6} . The probability of five of us being struck by lightning in the same year is about 10^{-6} .

 10^{-30} . If that's what keeps you up at night, then you should definitely worry about n.isProbablePrime(100) giving you the wrong answer.

(e) Let's find out if running the two tasks in parallel does any good. Add these calls around the calls to service.submit and fn.get

```
long start = System.currentTimeMillis();
// service.submit and f1.get and f2.get calls
long end = System.currentTimeMillis();
System.out.println("Run time in ms.: " + (end - start));
Run the program and write down the number of milliseconds. Then change
```

Executors.newFixedThreadPool(2);

 to

```
Executors.newFixedThreadPool(1);
```

which means that only one thread is available. Run the program again. You should notice a delay between the printouts of the two counts. Why was there a delay? Was there a difference in the run times? If so, why?

Set the number of threads available to 3 and run the program again. Comment on any differences, and the reasons, compared to having 2 threads available.

Set the number of threads available back to 2.

- 2. Now let's compute the count differently.
 - (a) We'll increment a shared counter. Add a field

```
private long nonprime = 0;
```

In the countPrimes method, increment nonprime when a number isn't a prime. After printing the counts of primes, add a call

```
System.out.println(nonprime);
```

Run the program a few times. What results do you get? Which values are the same, and which are different in each run?

- (b) As you can see, incrementing a counter from two threads doesn't work reliably in other words, it doesn't work. Use a ReentrantLock to make it work.
- (c) Objects contain their own built-in locks. Make a SyncedCounter class with synchronized methods increment and get. Remove the lock from the previous step and make nonprime into an instance of your Counter class. Verify that your program works.
- 3. Now we'll look at an example of the famous Producer/Consumer problem.
 - (a) Create a method that places primes into a queue:

You will want to use put, not add, for placing items into the queue so that the thread will wait if the queue is full. Add a method

private Runnable consumePrimes(BlockingQueue<BigInteger> queue, ...);

that removes primes from the queue and prints those that have at most three distinct digits. You will want to use the method **take** to remove items from the queue so that the thread will wait if there are no items to remove. There is a method, distinct, in the starter project for finding and returning all distinct characters in a string. Use this method as *part* of the process of determining those primes that have at most three distinct digits.

Instantiate a private ArrayBlockingQueue of capacity 1000. Change the newFixedThreadPool call to have 3 threads. Add the three runnables:

- ... producePrimes(new BigInteger("100000000000000"), 500_000, queue);
- ... producePrimes(new BigInteger("200000000000000"), 500_000, queue);
- ... consumePrimes(queue, ...);
- (b) How does the consumer know when it is done? Come up with some mechanism that works.

Export your lab into a ZIP archive and submit it in Canvas.