## CS205 - Lab 5

Objectives: In this lab you will learn how to

- create multithreaded code
- correct synchronization issues within a multithreaded program with Locks

Before starting this lab, you should have read Chapter 9 in your text.

- 1. You will start by using multiple threads
  - (a) In your pair, download and import the lab5 project into Eclipse. Take a look at the printPrimes method. What does the method do? (Note: n.isProbablePrime(100) returns true if n is a prime, with error probability  $< 2^{-100}$ . Assume for now that means that n is certainly a prime.)
  - (b) Take a look at the main method that executes two threads and run this code. What happens? How can you tell that the two tasks ran concurrently?
  - (c) Now we want to know how many primes are in a given interval instead of printing them to System.out. We need to use a Callable since a Runnable can't return a value. Make a function countPrimes that returns a Callable<Long>. Make two callables

```
Callable<Long> c1 = countPrimes(new BigInteger("10000000000000"), 500_000);
Callable<Long> c2 = countPrimes(new BigInteger("100000000500000"), 500_000);
```

Submit them both to the same ExecutorService as in the preceding example. You'll get two Future<Long> values. You can access these values by

```
System.out.println(f1.get());
System.out.println(f2.get());
before calling
service.shutdown();
```

- (d) Run the program. What does it print? Run it again. Does it print the same thing? The isProbablePrime method sounds as if it was guessing, but it is actually perfectly deterministic. For a given n, the call n.isProbablePrime(100) will always give the same result. It is just that the result may be wrong. The chance for that is  $2^{-100}$  or about  $10^{-30}$ . The probability of you being struck by lightning in a given year is about  $10^{-6}$ . The probability of five of us being struck by lightning in the same year is about  $10^{-30}$ . If that what keeps you up at night, then you should definitely worry about n.isProbablePrime(100) giving you the wrong answer.
- (e) Let's find out if running the two tasks in parallel does any good. Add these calls around the calls to service.submit

```
long start = System.currentTimeMillis();
...
long end = System.currentTimeMillis();
System.out.println("Milliseconds: " + (end - start));
```

Run the program and write down the number of milliseconds. Then change Executors.newFixedThreadPool(2); to Executors.newFixedThreadPool(1);, which means that only one thread is available. Run the program again. You should notice a delay between the printouts of the two counts. Did you get the same counts in both the faster and the slower run?

- 2. Now let's compute the count differently.
  - (a) We'll increment a shared counter. Add a field

```
private static long nonprime = 0;
```

In the countPrimes method, increment nonprime when a number isn't a prime. After printing each result, add a call System.out.println(nonprime); Run the program a few times. What results do you get? Which values are the same, and which are different in each run?

- (b) As you can see, incrementing a counter from two threads doesn't work reliably in other words, it doesn't work. Use a ReentrantLock to make it work.
- (c) Objects contain their own built-in locks. Make a Counter class with synchronized methods increment and get. Remove the lock from the previous step and make nonPrime into an instance of your Counter class. Verify that your program works.
- 3. The preceding program has two tasks that count primes. Now we want to do some work with them.
  - (a) Create a method that places primes into a queue:

```
public Runnable producePrimes(BigInteger start, long length, BlockingQueue<BigInteger> queue)
```

You will want to use put, not add for placing items into the queue so that the thread will wait if the queue is full. Add a method

```
public Runnable consumePrimes(BlockingQueue<BigInteger> queue);
```

that removes primes from the queue and prints those that have at most three distinct digits. You will want to use the method take to remove items from the queue so that the thread will wait if there are no items to remove. Here is a method for getting all distinct characters in a string:

```
private static String distinct(String s)
{
   StringBuilder result = new StringBuilder();
   int i = 0;
   while (i < s.length())
   {
      int cp = s.codePointAt(i);
      int cc = Character.charCount(cp);
      if (result.indexOf(s.substring(i, i + cc)) == -1)
           result.appendCodePoint(cp);
      i += cc;
   }
   return result.toString();
}</pre>
```

In the main method, make an ArrayBlockingQueue of capacity 1000. Change the newFixedThreadPool call to have 3 threads. Add the three runnables:

```
producePrimes(new BigInteger("10000000000000"), 500_000, queue);
producePrimes(new BigInteger("100000000500000"), 500_000, queue);
consumePrimes(queue, ...);
```

(b) How does the consumer know when it is done? Come up with some mechanism that works.

Add Javadoc comments to record the members of your team in the Class file containing your main() methods and also to document the code that you write for the lab. Export your lab into a ZIP archive and submit it.